

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

Department: TAMIL

Semester/Year: 2022

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. S. Thaniam

T2 Dr. M. Peril Threse

T3 Dr. A. Sujatha Joice

T4 Dr. T. Little Mary

T5 Dr. S. Suma Maheswari

T6 Dr. N. Seema Mole

T7 Dr. R. Adlin Jeba

T8 Mrs. P. Sriya pushpam

T9

T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
3	Commitment of the teacher	7	8	7	8	7	8	7	8		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	5	6	5	6	5	6	5	6		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
10	Overall rating	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
TOTAL		81	83	81	83	81	83	81	83		

Date: 30/5/2023

Jenaba
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

Department: TAHIL

Semester/Year: 2022

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. S. Thaniam T2 Dr. M. Peril Threse
T3 Dr. A. Sujatha Soice T4 Dr. G. Little Mary
T5 Dr. S. Suma Maheswari T6 Dr. N. Seema Mole
T7 Dr. R. Adlin Sehar T8 Mrs. P. Srinaga Pushpam
T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	8		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
10	Overall rating	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
TOTAL		84	87	87	87	87	87	87	86		

Date: 30-05-2023

Renna
Student Signature.

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

Department: Tamil

Semester/Year: 2022-2023

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. M. Peril Threse T2 Dr. A. Srijatha Poice
 T3 Dr. S. Thaikam T4 Dr. T. Little Mary
 T5 Dr. S. Sona Mahaswari T6 Dr. N. Seema Male
 T7 Dr. R. Adlin Jeba T8 Mrs. P. Sriya Pushpan
 T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
10	Overall rating	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
TOTAL		83	83	83	83	83	83	83	83		

Date: 50.5.23

Benup
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

Department: TAMIL

Semester/Year: 2022

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. S. Shankam..... T2 Dr. M. Peril Threse
 T3 Dr. A. Sujatha Joice T4 Dr. T. Little Mary
 T5 Dr. S. Suma Maheswari T6 Dr. N. Seemamol
 T7 Dr. R. Adlin Jeba..... T8 Mrs. P. Sriya pushpam
 T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
10	Overall rating	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
TOTAL		83	83	83	83	83	83	83	83		

Date: 30/5/2023

Dehina
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

Department: Tamil

Semester/Year: 2022-2023

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. M. Peril Threse T2 Dr. S. Thankam
 T3 Dr. A. Sujatha Joice T4 Dr. T. Little Mary
 T5 Dr. S. Suman Mohan T6 Dr. N. Seema Nole
 T7 Dr. R. Adlin Jeba T8 Mrs. P. Sriya Pushpan
 T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
10	Overall rating	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
TOTAL		83	83	83	83	83	83	83	83		

Date: 30.5.23

Student Signature

ஆசிரியர் குறித்து மாணவர்களின் பின்னூட்ட அறிக்கை
நாஞ்சில் கத்தோலிக்க கலை மற்றும் அறிவியல் கல்லூரி

தமிழ்த்துறை

2022 - 2023

மாணவர்களுக்கு பாடம் நடத்திய எட்டு ஆசிரியர்கள் குறித்து
மாணவர்களிடம் ஒன்பது கேள்விகள் முன்வைக்கப்பட்டன.

ஆசிரியர்களின் அறிவு குறித்து கேட்ட கேள்விக்கு அனைத்து
மாணவர்களும் ஒன்பது மதிப்பெண் வழங்கியுள்ளனர். அது போல்
ஆசிரியர்களின் பாடத்தயாரிப்பு, பகுப்பாய்வு போன்றவற்றிற்கும் ஒன்பது
மதிப்பெண் வழங்கியுள்ளனர்.

பாடத்திட்டத்தை குறிப்பிட்ட காலத்திற்குள் நடத்துவதற்கு
அனைத்து மாணவர்களும் பத்து மதிப்பெண் வழங்கியுள்ளனர்.

ஆசிரியர்கள் பாடத்திட்டத்தோடு பொதுச் செய்திகளை விளக்கி
பாடமெடுப்பதற்கு ஏழு மதிப்பெண்களையும், பாடமெடுக்கும் போது
துணைக்கருவிகளைப் பயன்படுத்துவதற்கு சராசரியாக ஆறு
மதிப்பெண்களையும் வழங்கியுள்ளனர்.



A. [Signature]

PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

[Signature]
Head

Department of Tamil
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu

ஆசிரியர்கள் குறித்து மாணவர்களின் பின்னூட்ட

நடவடிக்கை அறிக்கை

நாஞ்சில் கத்தோலிக்க கலை மற்றும் அறிவியல் கல்லூரி

தமிழ்த்துறை

2022 - 2023

பாடத்திட்டத்தில் துணைக் கருவிகளை அதிகமாகப் பயன்படுத்துவதற்கு ஒளிபடக் காட்டியை அதிகமாக பயன்படுத்தவும் பாடம் தொடர்புடைய புதிய செய்திகளைப் பாடத்தோடு தொடர்புபடுத்தி எடுக்கவும் நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப்பட்டுள்ளது.

பாடத்தயாரிப்பு, பகுப்பாய்விற்கும் விருப்பமுடைய அந்த அந்த பாடங்களில் தேர்ந்த பேராசிரியர்களைப் பயன்படுத்தவும் நடவடிக்கை மேற்கொள்ளப்பட்டுள்ளது.



A. K. K.

PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

Head
Department of Tamil
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: English

Semester/Year: III^{IV} 2022 - 23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 ... Mrs. Syjitha Sajan ...

T2 ... Mrs. J. Blessy ...

T3 ... Mrs. Anisha ...

T4 ... Dr. Virgin Mary ...

T5 ... Mrs. Reshma Raju ...

T6 ... Mrs. Sherine Francis ...

T7 ... Mrs. Rosary Viniatha ...

T8 ... Mrs. Amala Thomas ...

T9 ...

T10 ...

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9	10	9	10	9		
2	Communication Skill	9	9	9	8	10	10	10	10		
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	9		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	8	9	9	9	8	9	8		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	10	9	9	9	9	10	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	8	8	8	9	9	10	10		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	10	10	9	8	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	8	10	10	10	10	9	9	9		
10	Overall rating	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		
TOTAL		91	93	93	92	94	90	95	91		

Date: 22-3-2023

S. Shajin
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 20 23

Department: *English*

Semester/Year: *I/II 2022-23*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *Mrs. Anisha P* T2 *Mrs. Virgin Mary*
T3 *Mrs. Sub? M.S* T4 *Mrs. Amala Thomas*
T5 *Mrs. Saji tha Sajan* T6
T7 *Mrs. Merlin Mahil* T8
T9 *Mrs. Rosany* T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	8	9	10	9		10		10	
2	Communication Skill	8	9	9	10	9		10		10	
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	10	10	10	10		9		10	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	9	10		8		10	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	10	9	9		9		9	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	10	8		10		8	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	9	8	9		9		9	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	8	8	10		9		8	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	8	9	9	9		10		9	
10	Overall rating	9	9	9	9	9		9		9	
TOTAL		93	92	92	92	92		93		92	

Date: *22/03/23*

[Signature]
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

2022-2023

Department: English

Semester/Year: III sem / IV Sem.

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown




Name of the Teachers:

T1 Mrs. Amala Thomas	T2 Mrs. Sajitha Sajan
T3 Mrs. Subi Ms	T4 Mrs. Sherin Francis
T5 Mrs. Blessy	T6 Mrs. Merlin
T7 Mrs. Anisha	T8 Mrs. Virgin Mary
T9 Mrs. Reshma Raju	T10 Mrs. Rosary Vinita

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	7	9	8	7	9	8	10	8	9	7
2	Communication Skill	9	7	8	7	8	9	8	7	8	8
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	9	8	7	8	7	9	9	9
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	10	9	9	7	8	8	8	9
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	7	8	8	10	8	9	7	7	7	8
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	7	7	9	7	8	8	8	8	9
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	9	8	9	9	9	7	9	8
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	8	10	7	8	7	8	8	7	8
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	8	7	8	9	7	8	7	9	8	7
10	Overall rating	8	8	9	9	8	9	8	9	8	9
TOTAL		83	80	86	83	80	82	80	80	81	82

Date: 22-03-2023


 Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: English

Semester/Year: 1, 2

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. Limcy. C.

T2 Mrs. Amala Thomas

T3 P.R. Prinselin

T4 Mrs. Blessy

T5 Mrs. Sajitha Sajan

T6 Mrs. Meslin Mathil.

T7 Dr. Virgin Mary

T8 Mrs. Reshma Raju

T9 Mrs. Sherin Francis

T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	8	9	9	9	8	8	8	9	
2	Communication Skill	8	8	9	9	10	8	8	9	9	
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	8	9	8	9	9	9	8	9	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	8	9	7	7	7	7	7	7	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	8	9	9	9	9	9	8	9	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
TOTAL		89	88	94	92	92	92	92	88	91	

Date: March 22, 2023


Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: English

Semester/Year: V / 2022 - 2023

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. Rooban Raja Sekhar T2 Mrs. Princilin
T3 Mrs. Subi T4 Mrs. Sajitha Sajan
T5 Mrs. Rosary Vinintha T6 Mrs. Limcy
T7 Mrs. Amela Thomas T8
T9 Mrs. Reshma Raju T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	8	9	9	9	9	9		9	
2	Communication Skill	10	9	9	9	9	8	9		9	
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		9	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9	9	9	8	9		9	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10	8	10		10	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	9	8	8	7	8	10		8	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	9	9	9	9	9	9		9	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	10	9	10	8	10		9	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		10	
10	Overall rating	9	9	9	9	10	8	9		9	
TOTAL		95	92	92	91	92	85	94		91	

Date: 22/03/2023

Jeffin
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS: 2022-2023

In the department of English 12 staff worked in the year 2022-2023. All the students of English department told that the teachers always prepared for the classes. They covered the entire syllabus as prescribed by the university. Teachers managed and motivated the students to study regularly. All were creative in developing activities and lessons. They encouraged the students to speak up and be active in the class. Teachers were good in technical content, communication skills and using of non print teaching aids. Teachers always respected the opinions and decisions of the students. Their words and actions were seemed to be matched. Their voice was audible, consistent and fair in discipline. They helped the students when they asked for help. The students trusted the teachers.

By collecting the student's feedback on teacher's majority of the teachers secured above 90%. The students were satisfied with the teaching strategies of all Professors.



A. [Signature]
PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

[Signature]
Head
Department of English
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH

ACTION TAKEN REPORT

In the Academic year 2022-2023, majority of the staffs secured good scores for the questions from 1-10. The teachers were also asked to explain what is expected on assignments and test. The students expected the teachers to encourage them to refer books and articles, motivate them to study regularly and to improve their communication skills. The teachers were instructed to use more modern teaching aids, handouts, PPT and good rapport between them and students. The teachers accepted to improve themselves.



A. [Signature]

PRINCIPAL

Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

[Signature]
Head

Department of English
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Mathematics

Semester/Year: II / I

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Mr. Adlin Jeba T2 Dr. Virgin Mary

T3 Dr. A. Ajitha T4 Dr. Murugavel

T5 Mrs. G. M. Dhanya T6
 T7 T8
 T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10					
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	9	9					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10	10	10					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	10	10	9	10					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10					
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10					
TOTAL		99	100	100	98	99					

Date: 16/03/2023

Nandhana
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: *Mathematics*

Semester/Year: *VI / III*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *Dr. A. Ajitha* T2 *Mrs. S. Jerlin Mary*
 T3 *Dr. S. Kavitha* T4 *Dr. Bertilla Jaushal*
 T5 *Mrs. G. M. Dhanya* T6 *Mrs. I. Mary Bezy*
 T7 T8
 T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	8	9	9	8				
2	Communication Skill	8	9	8	9	8	9				
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	10	8	10	10				
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	9	10	8	9	10				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	8	9	10	8	9				
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	10	9	8	10	9				
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	8	10	9	8	10				
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	9	8	10	9	8				
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	9	10	9	10	9				
10	Overall rating	9	10	9	10	9	10				
TOTAL		91	90	91	90	90	92				

Date: *16/03/2023*

Shisha
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: *Mathematics*

Semester/Year: *6 / IIIrd*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *Dr. A. Ajitha* T2 *Mrs. S. Jerlin Mary*

T3 *Dr. S. Kaviitha* T4 *Dr. Bertilla Jaushal*

T5 *Mrs. G. M. Dhanya* T6 *Mrs. I. Mary Bexy*

T7 T8

T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	9	10	10	9	7				
2	Communication Skill	9	7	8	7	10	10				
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	8	8	7	8				
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	10	10	8	9				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9	8	9				
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	10	10	9	6	9				
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	9	8	8	9	8				
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	8	9	10	9	9				
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	10	9	10	9				
10	Overall rating	9	9	8	9	9	8				
TOTAL		86	88	90	89	85	86				

Date: *16/03/2023*

Abir
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Mathematics

Semester/Year: IV / 2nd

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 <u>Dr. Ajitha</u>	T2 <u>Mrs. Abila</u>
T3 <u>Mrs. Mary Bezy</u>	T4 <u>Mrs. Jerlin Mary</u>
T5	T6
T7	T8
T9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	10	10	9						
2	Communication Skill	10	9	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	9	9						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	9	9						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	9	10	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	9	9	10						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	9	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
TOTAL		97	95	95	96						

Date: 16/3/2023

Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

Department: Mathematics

Semester/Year: IV / 2nd

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. Ajitha T2 Mrs. Abila
T3 Mrs. Mary Bexy T4 Mrs. Terlin Mary
T5 T6
T7 T8
T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9	9						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	10	10						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	10	9	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	10	9						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	9	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
TOTAL		97	98	97	98						

Date: 16/03/2023

Rashmi
Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIAKKAVILAI**

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

FEED BACK REPORT OF STUDENTS ABOUT TEACHERS

85% of our students felt that the Knowledge base of 90% teachers is so good and Communication skill of our teachers is accepted by 91% of students. According to department of Mathematics, the commitment of the teachers is reach 100%. Also, 82% of our staff generates interest in subjects for all the students. All students accepted that all the subject teachers well prepared by teachers teach the topics with teaching aids and power point presentation. All the teachers submit the internal assessments on time and all the teachers completed the portions on time and therefore they can revise the syllabus before the university examination. Thus students conclude that the teachers in department of Mathematics is over all satisfied.




A. [Signature]
PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

[Signature]
Head
Department of Mathematics
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.

Nanjil Catholic College of Arts and Science
Kaliyakkavilai
Department of Mathematics
Students Feedback Chart on Teachers (2022-2023)

Name of the Teachers	Dr.A.Ajitha	Mrs.S.Jerlin Mary	Mrs.R. Abila	Mrs.I.Mary Bexy	Dr.S. Kavitha	Dr.A.J.Bertilla Jaushal	Mrs.G.M.Dhanya
Overall rating	92	86	85	85	80	85	82

Teachers Feedback



A. *[Signature]*
PRINCIPAL
 Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
 Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

[Signature]
Head
 Department of Mathematics
 Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
 Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.

**Nanjil Catholic College of arts and Science
Kaliakkavilai**

Department of Mathematics

Students Feed Back on Teachers (2022-2023)

Action Taken Report

In the academic year 2022-2023, when comparing the overall values from 1st to 10th question, all got almost high value. Staff those who got low values were instructed to improve good report from teachers and the students. The teacher were also asked to explain what is expected on assignment and internal examination. The students expected the teachers to encourage them to refer text books and articles, motivate them to study regularly and to improve their communication skills. The teacher were instructed to use more PPT's and Teaching Aids. The teachers accepted to improve themselves.



A. [Signature]
PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

[Signature]
Head
Department of Mathematics
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

Semester/Year: 2022 - 2023

Figure 1 is a horizontal bar chart illustrating the distribution of 1000 samples across 10 categories (1 to 10). The top row shows the number of samples in each category: 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900, 1000. The bottom row shows the corresponding quality labels: Very Good (categories 1-3), Good (category 4), Satisfactory (category 5), and Below threshold (categories 6-10).

T1	Dr. M. Amalanatham	T2	Dr. Marston Robert
T3	Dr. S. Antony Dominic Chid ^{plus}	T4	Dr. S. S. Bridger
T5	Dr. T. R. Jeena	T6	Mrs. V. Beena
T7	Dr. S. Marugavel	T8	Mr. F. Salaya Raj
T9		T10	

Date: 30/7/2022.

Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

Department: Physics

Semester/Year: 2022 - 2023

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. M. Amalanathan T2 Dr. Marshan Robert
 T3 Dr. S. Antony Dominic T4 Dr. S.S. Bridhu
 T5 Dr. T.R. Jeena T6 Mr. V. Beena
 T7 Dr. S. Murgavai T8 Mr. F. Bahaya Ray
 T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	10	10	10	10	8	10	10	8	
2	Communication Skill	9	8	9	9	10	9	10	10		
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	9	9	9	8	9	8	8		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	9	9	8	9	9	9		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	8	8	10	9	9	8	8	9		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	8	10	8	9	8	10	10		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	10	8	8	8	10	9		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	9	8	8	9	8	10		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	10	8	8	9	8	9		
10	Overall rating	7	10	10	10	10	9	10	9		
TOTAL		84	91	92	88	88	86	91	93		

Date: 30/07/2022


Student Signature

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

Semester/Year: 2022-2023

[illegible]

T1	Dr. M. Amalanathan	T2	Dr. Marehan Robert
T3	Dr. S. Antony Dominic	T4	Dr. S. S. Bidhu
T5	Dr. T. R. Jeena	T6	Mr. V. Beena
T7	Dr. S. Murugavel	T8	Mr. F. abayaraj
T9		T10	

Date: 30/07/2022

Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

Department: Physics

Semester/Year: 2022 - 2023

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. M. Amalanathan T2 Dr. Marshan Robert
 T3 Dr. S. Antony Dominic christopher T4 Dr. S.S. Bidhu
 T5 Dr. T. R. Jeena T6 Mrs. V. Beena
 T7 Dr. S. Murugavel T8 Mr. J. Sahaya Raj
 T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	8	8	9	10	10	10	10		
2	Communication Skill	9	9	10	8	9	8	8	10		
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	10	10	8	8	10	9	10		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	10	8	7	8	9	10		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	8	9	10	8	9	9	10		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	10	7	8	8	10		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	9	9	8	7	8	8	10		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	8	9	8	9	9	7	7	10		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	7	9	10	9	8	6	7	10		
10	Overall rating	7	9	10	9	10	7	9	10		
TOTAL		91	88	93	88	83	82	74	100		

Date: 30/7/2022

SEA
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

Department: Physics

Semester/Year: 2022 - 2023

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. M. Amalanathan T2 Dr. Marhan Robert
 T3 Dr. S. Antony Dominic T4 Dr. S. S. Bidhu
 T5 Dr. T. R. Teena T6 Mr. V. Boona
 T7 Dr. S. Murugavel T8 Mr. F. Sahaya Raj
 T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	10	6	6	6	9	10		
2	Communication Skill	8	10	10	9	6	7	8	10		
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	10	6	7	8	9	10		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	10	9	7	7	8	10		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	8	9	10	9	8	7	8	10		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	10	10	7	8	6	9	10		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	9	10	8	9	7	8	10		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	10	8	9	8	9	10		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	8	9	10	9	7	7	9	10		
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	6	8	8	8	10		
TOTAL		90	95	100	71	75	71	85	100		

Date: 30/7/2022

Student Signature

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI**


DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM BY TEACHERS (2022-2023)

The feedback was collected from the students on the following parameters.

- 90% of the teachers strongly agree with the learning objectives are clear for each course.
- 95% of the students strongly agree with the syllabus is well updated and suitable to course.
- 98% of the teachers told that the prescribed textbooks and reference books are available.
- 82% of the teachers agree with the syllabus meets the requirements of industries.
- 95% of the teachers said that the contact hours allotted for each unit is sufficient.
- 98% of the teachers said that the syllabus has enough practical/project components.
- 98% of the teachers said that the syllabus has been completed on time.

FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM BY TEACHERS



- teachers strongly agree with the learning objectives are clear for each course.
- strongly agree with the syllabus is well updated and suitable to course
- teachers say that the prescribed text books and reference books are available.
- teachers agree with the syllabus meets the requirements of industries
- teachers say that the contact hours allotted for each unit is sufficient
- teachers say that the syllabus has sufficient number of practical/project components.
- teachers say that the syllabus has to complete on time



Head

Department of Physics,
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153 Tamil Nadu




PRINCIPAL

Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI
DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS
TEACHERS FEEDBACK (2022-2023)

ACTION TAKEN REPORT

In the academic year 2022-2023, we collected feedback about syllabus from the teachers. They reviewed the syllabus and gave some suggestions that the syllabus should meet the requirements of the industries and sufficient reference books should be purchased for the syllabus. Based on their feedback the learning objectives should be updated. Based on their suggestions, a report was sent to the Board of Studies. Department of Physics. M.S.University, Tirunelveli.



Head

Department of Physics,
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153 Tamil Nadu



PRINCIPAL

Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153.

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Chemistry

Semester/Year: Even / 2022-23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 A.R. Murali

T2 Dr. T. Alwin

T3 A.C. Agatha Christie

T4 Mrs. L. Lissy

T5 M^s. Shirley Navis

T6 Dr. K. Priya

T7 A.M. Mary Sheeba

T8

T9

T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	8	7	9	9	7	9			
2	Communication Skill	7	8	7	9	9	7	9			
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	7	8	8	9	7	9			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	7	7	6	6	7	7	8			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	6	7	8	9	9	9			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	8	6	6	8	8	9			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	7	8	6	6	8	8	9			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	8	7	7	8	9	8	9			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	5	8	8	9	8	9			
10	Overall rating	8	7	7	8	9	8	9			
TOTAL		80	64	69	74	86	75	89			

Date: 9.03.2023

Agan
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: CHEMISTRY

Semester/Year: 2nd yr

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 <u>Dr. Agatha</u>	T2 <u>Mrs. Sherry</u>
T3 <u>Mrs. Lissy</u>	T4 <u>Dr. Priya</u>
T5 <u>Dr. Murali</u>	T6 <u>Dr. Alwin</u>
T7 <u>Dr. Mary Sheeba</u>	T8
T9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	8	8	7	9	7	8			
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	7	8	7	8			
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	8	8	7	10	6	9			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	7	7	8	5	10	5	9			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	8	8	6	10	5	9			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	10	8	6	10	8	9			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	9	8	10	8	8			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	8	9	6	10	7	8			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	9	8	10	8	9			
10	Overall rating	8	8.5	8.5	6	10	7	8.5			
TOTAL		8	8.5	8.5	6	10	7	8.5			

Date: 9-03-2023


 Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Chemistry

Semester/Year: Even

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown




Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. Agatha T2 Mrs. Sherly
 T3 Mrs. Lissy T4 Dr. Priya
 T5 Dr. Murali T6 Dr. Alwin
 T7 Dr. Mary Sheeba T8
 T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	8	8	7	9	7	8			
2	Communication Skill	8	8	8	7	8	7	8			
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	8	8	7	10	6	9			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	7	7	8	5	10	5	9			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	8	8	6	10	5	9			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	10	8	6	10	8	9			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	9	8	10	8	8			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	8	9	6	10	7	8			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	9	8	10	8	9			
10	Overall rating	8	8.5	8.5	6	10	7	8.5			
TOTAL		8	8.5	8.5	6	10	7	8.5			

Date: 09-03-23


Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS : 2022-2023

In the department of chemistry 7 staff worked in the year 2022-2023. All the students of chemistry department told that the teachers always prepared for the classes. They covered the entire syllabus as prescribed by the university. Teachers were managed and motivated the students to study regularly. All were creative in developing activities and lessons. They encouraged the students to speak up and be active in the class. Teachers were good in technical content, communication skills and using of non print teaching aids. Teachers are always respected the opinions and decisions of the students. Their words and actions were seemed to be matched. Their voice was audible and consistent and fair in discipline. They helped the students when they ask for help. The students trusted the teachers. From the report the overall values of 10 questions, the value is less for Dr.Priya Rajini. This was informed to Dr.Priya Rajini, and suggestions also given. She accepted.

From the report of UG chemistry students feedback on teachers overall values are shown in the graph. When comparing the overall values of 1 question, Dr.Alwin Mrs.Shirly, and Dr.Mary Sheeba got high value and Dr.Agatha got low value. For the second question Dr.Murali, Dr.Agatha, and Mrs.Lisy got high value and Dr.Priya got low value. For the third question Dr.Mary Sheeba got high value and Dr.Agatha got low value. For the fourth question Dr.Alwin and Dr.Mary Sheeba got high value and Dr.Murali got low value. For the fifth question Mrs.Shirly got high value and Dr.Murali and Mrs.Lisy got low value. For the sixth question Mrs.Shirly got high value and Mrs.Lisy got low value. For the seventh question Dr.Mary Sheeba got high value and Mrs.Lisy got low value. For the eighth question Mrs.Shirly and Dr.Alwin got high value and Dr.Agatha got low value. For the ninth question Mrs.Lisy got high value and Dr.Priya got low value. For the tenth question Dr.Mary Sheeba , and Mrs.Shirly got high value and Dr. Murali got low value. Staff those who got low values are instructed to improve them self. They all accepted.



PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

Head

Department of Chemistry
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY**

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS: 2022 -2023

ACTION TAKEN REPORT

In the academic year 2022 -2023, when comparing the overall values from 1st question to 10th question, all got almost high value. Staff those who got low values were instructed to improve good rapport between them and the students. The teachers were also asked to explain what is expected on assignments and test. The students expected the teachers to encourage them to refer books and articles, motivate them to study regularly and to improve their communication skills. The teachers were instructed to use more modern teaching aids, handouts, PPT and good rapport between them and students. The teachers accepted to improve themselves.




Head
Department of Chemistry
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.


PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ART AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: computer science

Semester/Year: I / IB.Sc

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. Sujia Mary . D

T2 Dr. Seema Mole . N

T3 Mrs. Abila . T

T4 Dr. Thomas Robinson . L

T5 Dr. Marshaan Robert . H

T6 Mrs. Christabel Merlin . N

T7

T8

T9

T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10				✓
2	Communication Skill	10	10	9	10	9	9			✓	
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	9	9	10	9	9			✓	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10				✓
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	10	10	10	10				✓
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	9	10	9	10			✓	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10	10				✓
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10	10				✓
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10				✓
10	Overall rating	9	10	10	10	10	10			✓	
TOTAL		98	98	91	100	91	98				

Date: 3/3/2023


Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ART AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

Department: Computer Science

Semester/Year: I / IB-SC

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10-point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. Suja Mary T2 Dr. Seema Mole
 T3 Mrs. Akila T4 Dr. Thomas Robinson
 T5 Dr. Marston Robert T6 Mr. Cristobal Merlin Mahile
 T7 T8
 T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10				
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10	10				
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	9	10				
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	10	10	10	10				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	10	9	9	10				
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	9	10	10	10	10				
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	10	10	9	10	10				
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	9	9	9	10	9				
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	9	10	9				
10	Overall rating	9	10	10	10	9	10				
TOTAL		97	97	98	96	97	98				

Date: 3/3/2023

Abhirith
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ART AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Computer Science

Semester/Year: 3/III B.Sc

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. Adlin Jeba T2 Dr. Shexine
T3 Mrs. Bueedah Benslet T4 Mrs. Ahila T
T5 Mrs. Suja Mexlin T6 Dr. Thomas Robinson
T7 T8
T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	10	8	8	10				
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9	8	8	9				
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	9	9	10				
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	10	9	10	10				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	10	8	9	10				
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	10	10	8	8	10				
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	10	9	9	9				
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	8	9	9	10	10				
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	8	10	8	8	10				
10	Overall rating	10	9	9	8	8	9				
TOTAL		93	91	97	84	87	97				

Date: 3/3/2023


Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ART AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

Department: Computer Science

Semester/Year: 3/II B.Sc

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10-point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. Adlin Jeba T2 Dr. Sherine
T3 Mrs. Buelah Benslet T4 Mrs. Dhila T
T5 Mrs. Sujia Merlin T6 Dr. Thomas Robinson
T7 T8
T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	10	8	8	10				
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9	8	8	9				
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	9	9	10				
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	10	9	10	10				
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	10	8	9	10				
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	10	10	8	8	10				
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	10	9	9	9				
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	8	9	9	10	10				
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	8	10	8	8	10				
10	Overall rating	10	9	9	8	8	9				
TOTAL		93	91	97	84	87	97				

Date: 3/3/2023


Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS


ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

Department: Computer Science

Semester/Year: III year

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10-point scale shown

ODD semester



Name of the Teachers:

T1 P. Thomas Robinson

T2 M. Abhilash

T3 D.incy

T4 M. Jaya Merlin

T5 M. Jaya Mary

T6

T7

T8

T9

T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10					
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10	10	10					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10					
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10					
TOTAL		100	100	100	100	100					

Date: 3/3/2023

Stephij
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ART AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Computer Science

Semester/Year: ✓ I M.Sc
ODD semester

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

Mrs. Sujia Merlin T2
Dr. Cincy T4
Mrs. Abhilash Sam Paulstin T6
Mrs. Akila T8
Dr. Sujia Mary T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8		9		9		9		9	
2	Communication Skill	9		9		9		10		9	
3	Commitment of the teacher	10		10		9		10		9	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8		8		8		9		10	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9		10		9		10		9	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9		10		10		10		10	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9		9		9		10		9	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10		10		10		10		10	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10		10		10		10		10	
10	Overall rating	8		10		9		10		8	
TOTAL		90		95		92		98		92	

Date: 3/3/2023

[Signature]
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE, KALIYAKKAVILAI


Department of Computer Science

Students Feedback on Teachers Report 2022 - 2023 (ODD Semester)


INTRODUCTION:

Feedback is a key element of the incremental process of ongoing learning and assessment. Providing frequent and ongoing feedback is a significant means of improving achievement in learning. It involves the provision of information about aspects of understanding and performance and can be given by practitioners, peers, oneself and from learners to practitioners. Effective feedback assists the learner to reflect on their learning and their learning strategies so they can make adjustments to make better progress in their learning. It is essential to know the strengths of teachers and those aspects of their practice which could be further developed.

From this perspective, the institution of teacher evaluation is a vital step in the drive to improve the effectiveness of teaching and learning and raise educational standards. Meaningful teacher evaluation involves an accurate appraisal of the effectiveness of teaching, its strengths and areas for development, followed by feedback, coaching, support and opportunities for professional development. It is also essential to celebrate, recognize and reward the work of teachers.




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	9
Time management	9
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	8
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	8
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	9

DR. L. THOMAS ROBINSON




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	9

DR. W.C. Cincy




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	10
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	10
Overall rating	9

MRS. S.S. BEULAH BENSLET




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	9

MRS.T. AHILA




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	9
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	8
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	10

MRS.R. CHRISTABEL MERLIN MAHIL




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	10

DR. ADLIN JEBA



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	8
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	8
Time management	8
Overall rating	9

Conclusion:

With the appropriate framework for support and feedback, teachers will be able to make continual improvements in their practice and continue to ensure that every student has access to a great education. The staff those who need improvement are requested to deliver the course efficiency after well preparation.



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "A. S. S.", written over the printed name.

Head of the Department

Head, Department of Computer Science
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Nedumcode, Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

A handwritten signature in green ink, appearing to be "A. S. S.", written over the printed name.

PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

Department: Computer Science

Semester/Year: 2nd / 2022-23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



1st year

Name of the Teachers:

T1 Ms. Shreema mal T2 Dr. Sujia Mary

T3 Mr. Nishabell merlin mal T4 Dr. Thomas Robinson

T5 Mr. Abhilash Sam paulstin T6

T7 T8

T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	9	10	9	8					
2	Communication Skill	9	9	9	9	9					
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	9	8	8					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	9	8	9	8					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	8	9	9	9	9					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	5	10	10	8	7					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	8	9	9	8					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	8	9	8	9	9					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	9	9	8	9					
10	Overall rating	8	9	10	8	9					
TOTAL		82	89	91	86	84					

Date: 3/5/2023


Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

Department: Computer Science

Semester/Year: V Sem 23 year

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10-point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. Cincy W.C. T2 Mrs. Ahila
T3 Mrs. Sujamexlin T4 Mrs. Beulah Benslet
T5 Dr. Thomas Robinson
T7 T8
T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10					
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10	10	10					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10					
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10					
TOTAL		100	100	100	100	100					

Date: 3/5/2023

Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

Department: computer science

Semester/Year: 1st sem 1st year

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. L. Thomas Robinson T2 Dr. W. C. Cincy
 T3 Mrs. Beula Benslet S.S T4 Mrs. Sujal Merlin V
 T5 Mrs. Abila T6 _____
 T7 _____ T8 _____
 T9 _____ T10 _____

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10					
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10	10	10					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10					
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10					
TOTAL		100	100	100	100	100					

Date: 3/5/2023

[Signature]
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Computer Science

Semester/Year: VI - III Year

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale given



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. J. Thomas Robinson T2 Dr. M. C. Libey
T3 Mrs. Beula Benslet T4 Mrs. Sujas Merlin V.
T5 Mrs. Abila T6 _____
T7 _____ T8 _____
T9 _____ T10 _____

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10					
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10	10	10					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10					
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10					
TOTAL		100	100	100	100	100					

Date: 3/5/2023

Shi.
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Computer Science

Semester/Year: V sem III year

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. L. Thomas Robinson T2 Dr. W. C. Gincy
T3 Mrs. Beula Bonalek S.S T4 Mrs. Seja Martin V.
T5 Mrs. Ahila T6
T7 T8
T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	9					
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	8					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10	10	5					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	9	9	9	8					
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10					
TOTAL		100	99	99	99	90					

Date: 3/5/2023

Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE, KALIYAKKAVILAI


Department of Computer Science

Students Feedback on Teachers Report 2022 – 2023 (EVEN Semester)

INTRODUCTION:


Feedback on teachers is an important component of advancing the teaching practice. Getting feedback from the students during the term can be a good way to find out how things are going, to give a students a chance to express their feelings in a medium other than the end-of-course student evaluations, and to allow any changes in teaching to be made while students can still benefit. It involves the provision of information about aspects of understanding and performance and can be given by practitioners, peers, oneself and from learners to practitioners. Effective feedback assists the learner to reflect on their learning and their learning strategies so they can make adjustments to make better progress in their learning. It is essential to know the strengths of teachers and those aspects of their practice which could be further developed.

From this perspective, the institution of teacher evaluation is a vital step in the drive to improve the effectiveness of teaching and learning and raise educational standards. Meaningful teacher evaluation involves an accurate appraisal of the effectiveness of teaching, its strengths and areas for development, followed by feedback, coaching, support and opportunities for professional development. It is also essential to celebrate, recognize and reward the work of teachers.




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	9
Time management	9
Overall rating	9

MRS. V. SUJA MERLIN




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	8
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	8
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	9

DR. L. THOMAS ROBINSON




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	9

DR. W.C. Cincy




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	10
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	10
Overall rating	9

MRS. S.S. BEULAH BENSLET




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	9

MRS.T. AHILA




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	9
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	8
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	10

MRS.R. CHRISTABEL MERLIN MAHIL




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	10

DR. ADLIN JEBA



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	8
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	9
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	8
Time management	8
Overall rating	9

With the appropriate framework for support and feedback, teachers will be able to make continual improvements in their practice and continue to ensure that every student has access to a great education. The staff those who need improvement are requested to deliver the course efficiency after well preparation.



PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE,
KALIYAKKAVILAI**

Academic Year 2022-2023

Student Feedback on Teachers

Action Taken Report

The students are given the feedback on subject teachers for regular conducting of classes. Based on the student's feedback the teacher were advised to complete their syllabi well in time. They were also directed to organise student centric activities and help students in preparation of their exams through presentation, class tests and assignments. Teachers were motivated to attend orientation/refresher and short term courses to enhance their capabilities.




Head, Department of Computer Science
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Nedumcode, Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153


PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

Department: Zoology

Semester/Year:

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Sini Mangret T2 Ajitha Mol

T3 Anurtha Rani T4 Theresida Mary

T5 T6

T7 T8

T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	9	9	9						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9	9						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	9						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
TOTAL		94	94	94	94						

Date: 15.3.2023

Alen
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Zoology

Semester/Year:

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Ajitha Mol T2 Siri Margaret
 T3 Theresita Mary T4 Amurtha Rani
 T5 T6
 T7 T8
 T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9	9						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	9						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	9	9	9						
10	Overall rating										
TOTAL		94	94	94	94						

Date: 15.03.2023

Vaishnavi
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department:

Semester/Year: IV

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *Theresita Mary* T2 *Ajitha Mol*
 T3 *Sini Margaret* T4 *Amutha Pani*
 T5 T6
 T7 T8
 T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	9						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	8	8	8	8						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10						
10	Overall rating										
TOTAL		92	92	92	92						

Date: 15.3.23

Kirao
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department:

Semester/Year: IV

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 <u>Amutha Rani</u>	T2 <u>Sini Margaret</u>
T3 <u>Theresita May</u>	T4 <u>Ajitha Mol</u>
T5	T6
T7	T8
T9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	8	8						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	9						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10						
10	Overall rating										
TOTAL		93	93	93	93						

Date: 15.03.23

Ahesh
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: *Zoology*

Semester/Year: *III/V*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 <i>Amudha Rani</i>	T2 <i>Sini Margaret</i>
T3 <i>Theresita Mary</i>	T4 <i>Ajitha mol</i>
T5	T6
T7	T8
T9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	8	8						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	8	8	8						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	7	7	7						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	8	8	8						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
TOTAL		94	94	94	94						

Date: *15.03.23*

[Signature]
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

DEPARTMENT OF ZOOLOGY

2022-2023

STUDENTS FEEDBACK REPORT

Students evaluate the teacher based on certain criteria like communication skill, commitment of the teacher, usage of modern teaching aids etc . They were satisfied with accessibility of the teacher in and outside class. The interest generated by the teachers in the subject captured the attention span of the students and encouraged those to be more involved in the learning process was indicated by an overwhelming of student community in the feedback.

A. [Signature]
PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153



[Signature]
HEAD
Department of Zoology
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

DEPARTMENT OF ZOOLOGY

2022-2023

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ACTION TAKEN REPORT

- Cultural events and curricular events were planned to enrich the subject knowledge and other capabilities of the students.
- Timely dissemination of information regarding internal assessment and evaluation was ensured.
- Faculty took efforts to improve the students who were falling behind.
- Communication skills and soft skill trainings were given.
- Career trainings were given to the students for pursuing higher education.
- ICT classes were used for effective teaching. Shift 2 program were provided in the campus.

SSmk

Head

Department of Zoology
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu

A. [Signature]

PRINCIPAL

Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153



NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Physical Education

Semester/Year: Even 1st yr.

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 <u>Mr. U. Vijay</u>	T2 <u>Mr. Y. R. Dhesha Bendu</u>
T3 <u>Dr. K. Kishore Jani</u>	T4 <u>Mr. S. S. Sathya</u>
T5	T6
T7	T8
T9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	9	9	9						
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	10	9	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	10	10						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	9	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	9	9	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	9	9	10						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	10	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	9	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	9	10						
TOTAL		96	97	93	98						

Date: 9/09/2022

Alana D.R.
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Physical Education

Semester/Year: Even Year

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Mr. V. Vijay T2 Mr. Y. R. Prabha Bandhu

T3 Dr. K. Kishore Jani T4 Ms. S. Sanitha

T5 T6

T7 T8

T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	9	9						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	10	10						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	10	9	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	9	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	10	9						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	9	9						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	9	9	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
TOTAL		97	96	95	94						

Date: 9/09/2022

Bhagath
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: *Physical Education*

Semester/Year: *Even 2nd yr*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *Mr. V. Vijay* T2 *Mr. Y. R. Dhesha Bendhu*
 T3 *Dr. K. Kishore / Tapi* T4 *Mr. S. S. Sanitha*
 T5 T6
 T7 T8
 T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	9	9						
2	Communication Skill	9	9	10	9						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	10	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	9	9						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	9	9	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	10	9	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	9	10						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	10	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	9	9						
10	Overall rating	10	9	9	9						
TOTAL		96	96	93	94						

Date:

9/09/2022

Ajin.Cy
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Physical Education

Semester/Year: Even 2022-23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 <u>M. V. Vijay</u>	T4 <u>R. Dhesha Bendhu</u>
T2 <u>K. Kishore Jani</u>	T5 <u>S. S. Santhya</u>
T3	T6
T7	T8
T9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9	9						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	9	10						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	9	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	9	9	9						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	9	9						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	9	9	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
TOTAL		99	96	93	95						

Date: 9-9-2022

Mary Ann
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Physical Education

Semester/Year:
Even 2nd

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Mr. V. Vijay T2 M.R. Dharmarajan
 T3 Dr. K. Kishore Jani T4 M.S. S. Sanitha
 T5 T6
 T7 T8
 T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	10	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9	9						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	10	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	10	10	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	9	9	10						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	9	9	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	9	9	9						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
TOTAL		97	94	96	97						

Date: 10/09/2022

Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION

Academic year 2022 – 2023

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS REPORT

Feed back is meeting is held on 15/03/2023 an essential process and is needed to improve the efficiency is internal quality. More than 85% of the student respondents rate the subject as good/very good, which is useful, and having scope for students initiatives. The students are highly satisfied about the course content, coverage of syllabus, staff students relationship, conduct the test, students are unsatisfied of source material 4% and clarity 2%, integration with research and extension. Students are unsatisfied of lab facilities, department library.

A. 
PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153.



V. 
Head
Department of Physical Education
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE,

KALIYAKKAVILAI

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION

Academic year 2022 – 2023

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

Action Take Report

Introduced skill-based programme, relevant courses to meet the requirement of employability. Syllabus should be designed to inculcate ethical and human values, practical based courses, psychology to be introduced in the curriculum as a part of the subject of education, certificates courses for providing hands on training. Skill oriented and personality development programs were organised for all first year students. Few Teachers has been advised to handle classroom Teaching more effectively and advised to attend FDP regularly. Based on the advise Department instructed to Adopt innovative Teaching practices and methods for Effective Teaching and learning.


PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153.




Head
Department of Physical Education
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

Department: *BBA*

Semester/Year: *IV*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 .. *Sujatha joice* .. T2 *Dr. bi. Rajesh Babu* ..
 T3 .. *princelin* .. T4 *Dr. jashin Bino* ..
 T5 .. *Jayashreekan* .. T6 *Subi Mol* ..
 T7 .. *sheela* .. T8 ..
 T9 .. T10 ..

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	1	8	9	8	8	8	7			
2	Communication Skill	2	9	8	7	7	8	6			
3	Commitment of the teacher	1	7	7	6	6	10	8			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	2	8	8	5	8	9	9			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	4	6	10	8	6	10	7			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	2	8	8	7	7	10	6			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	3	10	9	6	8	9	8			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	1	7	7	7	7	8	7			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	5	9	8	8	6	9	7			
10	Overall rating	1	8	9	7	8	10	7			
TOTAL		22	80	83	69	71	92	72			

Date: *31/10/2022*

Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

Department: *BBA*

Semester/Year: *IV*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 <i>Mr. Sujatha Joice</i>	T2 <i>Dr. G. Rajesh Babu</i>
T3 <i>Pravile</i>	T4 <i>Dr. Tasbin Bina</i>
T5 <i>Jayashree</i>	T6 <i>Subi Mol</i>
T7 <i>Sheela</i>	T8
T9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	9	10	9	10	8	8			
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9	8	9	9	8			
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	8	7	9	9	9	8			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	10	9	10	8	7			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	8	9	9	10	10	8			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	7	10	7	10	7	9	7			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	8	9	7	8	7	9			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	8	10	6	7	9	8			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	7	9	10	10	8	7	6			
10	Overall rating	9	10	10	9	10	9	8			
TOTAL		85	89	91	86	88	85	75			

Date: *31/10/2022*

Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI


INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

Department: Business Administration Semester/Year: I year
2nd Sem

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. Suna Maheswar T2 Mrs. Reshma Raju
 T3 Dr. P. Jasbin Bino T4 Dr. G. Rakesh Babu
 T5 Mrs. G. S. Subi Mol T6
 T7 T8
 T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10					
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	8	9	9	9					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	8	9	9	8					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	9	9	10					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	9	10	8	8					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	7	7	9	9	7					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	9	9	9					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	10	9	9					
10	Overall rating	10	9	10	10	10					
TOTAL		92	90	95	92	90					

Date: 31-10-2022

Reshma
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

Department: Business Administration

Semester/Year: I year
IInd Sem

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. Suma Maheswari T2 Mrs. Reshma Raju
 T3 Dr. P. Jasbini Bino T4 Dr. G. Rajesh Babu
 T5 Mrs. G. S. Subi Mol T6
 T7 T8
 T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	9					
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	8	9	9	9					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9	9	9					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	9	9	8					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	5	10	9	9	9					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	7	7	7	8	7					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	8	8	10	9					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	9	9	10	9					
10	Overall rating	9	9	9	10	9					
TOTAL		89	89	89	94	88					

Date: 31-10-2022


Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI


INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

Department: *Business Administration* Semester/Year: *2 sem / 2nd year*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *Dr. Suma Maheswari* T2 *Mrs. Reshima Raju*
 T3 *Dr. P. Jashin Bino.* T4 *Dr. G. Rajesh Babu*
 T5 *Mrs. G. S. Subimal* T6
 T7 T8
 T9 T10


S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10					
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10					
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	10	9	8					
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	9	9	9	9					
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	10	10	9	10					
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	7	10	7	10					
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	6	6	8	6	6					
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	8	7	7	7	6					
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	9	9					
10	Overall rating	9	8	9	9	8					
TOTAL		90	86	93	85	86					

Shubh

Date: *31.10.2022*

Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE, KALIYAKKAVILAI
DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER
ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023



[Signature]
Head
Department of Business Administration
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.



[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153.

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI
DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

ACTION TAKEN REPORT

Based on the feedback collected from the students, the department took some actions that are listed below,

1. Power point presentation motivates students to take key points related with their study. Hence, number of PPT presentation per week was increased
2. Teachers evaluated the students based on the students learning skill to cope up with learning.
3. Teachers are motivated to use the college equipments in gathering information. They are asked to prepare more to cover all related concepts and topics about the subject.
4. Staff members are also encouraged to use innovative teaching methods like open classroom, interactive classrooms, group discussion etc.,

Ref
Head
Department of Business Administration
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.



A. [Signature]
PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai 629 153

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Commerce

Semester/Year: II . 2022 - 23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. K. Lucas T2 Dr. M. Sindhu
 T3 Dr. N. Jeba Jasmine T4 Dr. R. Shaba Rani
 T5 Dr. G. Rajesh Babu T6 Dr. R. Jolly Rosalind Silva
 T7 Dr. E. Sabaya Ugin Mary T8 Mrs. V. Vinny
 T9 T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
2	Communication Skill	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	9		
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	8	10	9	9	8	9	9	8		
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	9	10	10	10	9	9	9		
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	9		
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	9		
TOTAL		96	99	99	99	98	97	97	94		

Date: 9-3-2023

Amy
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Commerce , B.com

Semester/Year: 2022 - 2023,
4 semester

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 ...Dr. R. Shoba Rani... T2 ...Dr. N. Jeba Jasmin
T3 ...Dr. K. Lucas... T4 ...Dr. E. Sahaya Ugin Mary
T5 ...Mrs. N. R. Sheela... T6 ...Mr. J. Jayaseelan...
T7 ...Dr. R. Jolly Rosalind Silva T8 ...Dr. B. Gladwin Prince
T9 ...Mrs. V. Vinay... T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	10	10	9	10	9	9	10	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	9	9	10	9	9	9	9	9	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	9	9	10	10	10	9	9	
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	9	
TOTAL		97	98	98	99	98	99	97	97	97	

Date: 9-03-2023

Sheela
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

Department: Commerce

Semester/Year: II 2022-23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. R. Shoba Rani..... T2 Dr. R. Jolly Rosalind Silva
 T3 Dr. N. R. Sheela..... T4 Dr. G. Rajesh Babu
 T5 Dr. K. Lucas..... T6 V. Vincy.....
 T7 Dr. M. Sindhu..... T8 Dr. N. Jeba Jasmine
 T9 Dr. E. Sahaya Ugin Mary T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
2	Communication Skill	9	10	8	9	9	8	8	10	8	
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	9	9	10	9	9	10	8	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	9	10	9	9	9	10	9	10	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	9	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
10	Overall rating	10	10	9	9	10	10	9	10	8	
TOTAL		98	99	95	96	98	96	96	99	92	

pkuleri

Student Signature

Date: 09-03-2023

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

Department: Commerce

Semester/Year: II 2022-23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Dr. R. Shoba Rani T2 Dr. R. Jolly Rosalind Silva
 T3 Dr. R. Shreela T4 Dr. G. Rajesh Babu
 T5 Dr. K. Lucas T6 V. Vincy
 T7 Dr. M. Sindhu T8 Dr. N. Teba Jasmine
 T9 Dr. E. Sabaya Ugin Mary T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
2	Communication Skill	9	8	8	9	9	9	9	10	8	
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	10	9	10	10	9	9	10	8	
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	9	9	9	9	9	10	9	9	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
10	Overall rating	9	9	9	9	10	10	9	10	8	
TOTAL		97	96	95	97	98	97	97	99	91	

Date: 9-3-23

Selvan
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: B. Com

Semester/Year: IV / 2022 - 23

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 Vincy . V

T2 Jolly Rosalind Silver

T3 Sindhu

T4 Vinil Kumar . N

T5 Jeba Jasmine

T6 Sheela . N

T7 Lucas

T8

T9

T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10	10	9	9	10			
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9	8	9	8	10			
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	9	10	10	9	10			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9	9	10	10	9			
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	8	10	9	10			
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	10	9	10	9	8	8			
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	9	9	9	8	10			
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	8	9	9	9			
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	9	10	10	8	10			
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	9	9	9	10			
TOTAL		96	98	93	91	94	87	96			

Date: 09-03-2023

Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE, KALIYAKKAVILAI

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS REPORT 2022-2023


INTRODUCTION:

The students are supposed to be the most important stakeholders of Higher Education systems. The interest and participation of students at all levels in both internal quality assurance and external quality assurance have to play a central role. Any Higher Education Institution needs to ensure that students have a voice at various decisions making processes, formulating learning and teaching practices and those views of students are to be considered as the primary evidence on which the quality of teaching and learning is evaluated. The Student Feedback Approach is basically about institutional practices, processes and frameworks that take into account students concerns of the quality of the education they receive. In each section, the report includes findings of the top tasks which candidates feel the most and least prepared in.


The main objectives of the feedback system are as follows:

- To provide the platform to the students in identifying a greater role in the teaching learning process.
- To help the faculty modification and improvement in the teaching methodology at the College.
- To develop a communication channel between the management and students.
- To maintain the functioning of the College system in the field of teaching learning process, library, sports, administration, etc at its level best.


ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	8
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	8
Overall rating	9




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	9
The evaluation process	10
Time management	.9
Overall rating	10




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	9
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	9
Time management	10
Overall rating	10




ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	10
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	9
Interest generated by the teacher	9
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	8
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	8
Overall rating	9



ATTRIBUTESS	RATE
Knowledge base of the teacher	10
Communication skill	10
Commitment of the teacher	10
Interest generated by the teacher	10
Teacher -well prepared	9
modern teaching aids, ppt etc.	10
Accessibility of the teacher	10
The evaluation process	10
Time management	9
Overall rating	10



Conclusion:

The various graphs present the views expressed by present students in regard to their perception of quality in an educational institution. More than 75% students are satisfied with their teaching methodology of our college. The quality of education depended on the overall academic environment of the institution, a well-furnished and stocked library, Necessary computer facilities, and well-equipped labs are the infrastructural priorities. The quality education could come only if there were quality teachers who were good communicators. The output shows a very good environment of teaching at Nanjil College. The staff those who need improvement are requested to deliver the course efficiency after well preparation.

Report submitted by:



PRINCIPAL

Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153



Head of the Department

Head

Department of Commerce
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science,
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.

**NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE,
KALIYAKKAVILAI**
Department of commerce
Students Feedback on Teachers Action Report 2022-2023

Department of commerce after collect the student's feedback on teachers are carefully summarized and tabulated. With the appropriate framework for support and feedback, teachers will be able to make continual improvements in their practice and continue to ensure that every student has access to a great education. The staff those who need improvement are requested to deliver the course efficiency after well preparation.

Report submitted by:



PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153.

Head of the Department

Head
Department of Commerce
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science,
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: *Socialwork*

Semester/Year: *III*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *Mary Palsit . S*

T2 *Krishna Prasad KR*

T3 *Dr. S. Ponni*

T4 *Seetha Vijayar*

T5

T6

T7

T8

T9

T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	10	8	2						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10	10						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10	10						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10	10						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10						
10	Overall rating	10	10	10	10						
TOTAL		98	100	98	98						

Date: *20/03/2023*

[Signature]
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Socialwork

Semester/Year: III

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 <u>S. Mary Pelsit</u>	T2 <u>Krishna Prasad k.R</u>
T3 <u>Dr. S. Ponni</u>	T4 <u>Beeth Vijayan</u>
T5	T6
T7	T8
T9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	9	6	7						
2	Communication Skill	7	8	5	7						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	5	6						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	7	8	4	6						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	10	2	7						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	10	5	7						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	7	10	6	8						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	7	9	5	7						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	9	5	6						
10	Overall rating	10	10	9	10						
TOTAL		83	94	52	71						

Date: 26-03-2023


Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Social work

Semester/Year: IV

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 S. Mary pelsit

T2 Krishna Prasad kR

T3 Seethu vijayar

T4

T5

T6

T7

T8

T9

T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10							✓
2	Communication Skill	10	10	9							
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	10	9							
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	10	8							
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	9							
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10							
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10							
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10							
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	10							
10	Overall rating	9	10	8							
TOTAL		94	98	94							

Date: 20/3/23

Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: *Socialwork*

Semester/Year: *IV*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *S. Mary Pelscit*.....

T2 *Krishna Prasad KR*.....

T3 *Seetha Vijayaraj*.....

T4

T5

T6

T7

T8

T9

T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10							10
2	Communication Skill	9	10	9					✓		
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	9	9				✓			
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	9							✓
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	9	10							✓
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	10	9							✓
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	9						✓	
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9							
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	9							
10	Overall rating	10	10	10							
TOTAL		85	85	83							

Date: *20/3/2023*

[Signature]
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Special Works

Semester/Year: IV

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 <u>S. Mary Pelsit</u>	T2 <u>Krishna Prasad K.R.</u>
T3 <u>Geetha Vijayan</u>	T4
T5	T6
T7	T8
T9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	10							
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10							
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10							
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	9							
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10							
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10							
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	8	10	9							
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10							
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	9	10	10							
10	Overall rating	10	9	10							
TOTAL		99	99	98							

Date: 20/3/23

Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022- 2023

Department: Socialwork

Semester/Year: III

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 <u>S. Mary Pelsit</u>	T2 <u>Krishna Prasad K.R</u>
T3 <u>Dr. S. Ponni</u>	T4 <u>Geetha Vejayar</u>
T5	T6
T7	T8
T9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	9	9	9						
2	Communication Skill	9	8	8	8						
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	8	7	8						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	9	9	8	9						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	9	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	9	9	9	9						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	9	9						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10	10						
10	Overall rating										
TOTAL		85	83	81	92						

Date: 20/3/2023

Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Socialwork

Semester/Year: III

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 <u>S. Mary Pelsit</u>	T2 <u>Krishna Prasad kR</u>
T3 <u>Dr. S. Ponni</u>	T4 <u>Seetha Vijayar</u>
T5	T6
T7	T8
T9	T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	9	8	6	9						
2	Communication Skill	9	8	4	9						
3	Commitment of the teacher	9	9	9	9						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	8	6	6	7						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	9	8	7	9						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	9	7	7	9						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	7	9	8	9						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	9	9	9	9						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	7	8	8	7						
10	Overall rating	9	9	8	9						
TOTAL		85	81	75	86						

Date: 20/03/2023

Mona
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: *Social work*

Semester/Year: *IV*

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 *S. Mary Pelsit*

T2 *Krishna Prasad K.R.*

T3 *Geetha Vijayaraj*

T4

T5

T6

T7

T8

T9

T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	8	10	7							
2	Communication Skill	10	10	10							
3	Commitment of the teacher	8	10	10							
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10							
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	10							
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10							
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10							
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	8	10							
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	8	10	8							
10	Overall rating	10	10	10							
TOTAL		94	98	95							

Date: *20/3/2023*

[Signature]
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

Department Special Work

Semester/Year: III

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Very Good Good Satisfactory Below threshold

Name of the Teachers:

T1 p. Mary Pelt

T2 Krishnaprasad K.R.

T3 Dr. S. P. P. P.

T4 Geetha Vijayan.

T5

T6

T7

T8

T9

T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	10	5	10						
2	Communication Skill	10	10	9	10						
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	9	10						
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	9	10						
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	10	9	10						
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	9	10						
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	8	10						
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	7	9						
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	6	8						
10	Overall rating	10	10	5	6						
TOTAL		100	100	70	93						

Date: 20/03/2023

Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

Department: Socialwork

Semester/Year: IV

Please rate on the following attributes using the 10 -point scale shown



Name of the Teachers:

T1 S. Mary Pelsel

T2 Krishna Prasad KR

T3 Beethu Vijayaraj

T4

T5

T6

T7

T8

T9

T10

S. No.	Attributes	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
1	Knowledge base of the teacher	10	8	9							✓
2	Communication Skill	8	10	10							✓
3	Commitment of the teacher	10	10	10							✓
4	Interest generated by the teacher	10	10	10							✓
5	The teacher come well prepared for the class.	10	7	10							
6	Usage of modern teaching aids, handouts, power point presentation etc.	10	10	10							
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class	10	10	10							
8	The evaluation process is fair and unbiased	10	10	10							
9	Time Management in the completion of syllabus	10	10	10							
10	Overall rating	10	10	10							
TOTAL		98	95	99							

Date: 20/03/2023

[Signature]
Student Signature

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
KALIYAKKAVILAI

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL


STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023

Department: Social work


Semester/Year: 2

Name of the Teachers: Mrs. S.Mary Pelsit




Name of the Teachers: Krishna Prasad KR

Semester/Year: 2



Name of the Teachers: Ms. Seethu Vijayan

Semester/Year: 2



Teacher's feedback forms were collected from the II MSW students to evaluate the teacher's performance of the quality outcome. In this, Knowledge, Communication, Commitment, Interest generated, ICT, teaching pedagogy, accessibility, results were evaluated for each faculty. All the students were highly satisfied with the overall teaching methodology.

p. Mary Pelt...

Head

Department of Social Work
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.



M. Senthil Kumar

PRINCIPAL


Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153

NANJIL CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE, KALIKYAVILAI
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL WORK
ACTION TAKEN REPORT BASED ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS
(2022-2023)

The students given a perfect feedback on teachers in department of social worker based on certain criteria such as knowledge base of teachers, communication skill, commitment of teachers, interest generated by teachers, use of modern techniques, accessibility of teachers, time management and evaluation process of teachers. By analysing, it is clear that all the students are satisfied with the teaching pattern of the teachers and based on the feedback department of social work planned to organise practical base theory class to the students.


PRINCIPAL
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153




S. Mary Pelsit
Department of Social Work
Nanjil Catholic College of Arts & Science
Kaliyakkavilai - 629 153, Tamil Nadu.